Case 18-14384-amc Doc 43 Filed 07/09/18 Entered 07/09/18 10:36:26 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 13

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In re: : Chapter 11

:

GREAT ATLANTIC GRAPHICS, INC.,

:

Debtor. : Bankruptcy No. 18-14384(AMC)

MOTION OF GREAT ATLANTIC GRAPHICS, INC. FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(A) AND 363 AND FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 2002, 6004 AND 9013 (I) AUTHORIZING THE SALE OF ASSETS FREE AND CLEAR OF LIENS, CLAIMS AND ENCUMBRANCES AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF

Great Atlantic Graphics, Inc. (the "Debtor"), by and through undersigned, proposed counsel, Ciardi & Astin, hereby submits this motion for the entry of an order (I) authorizing the sale of assets, described herein, free and clear of liens, claims and encumbrances and (II) granting related relief (the "Motion"), and in support thereof, respectfully avers as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a "core" proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A), (M), (N) and (O). Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are §§105 and 363 of the Bankruptcy Code and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 2002, 6004 and 9013.

Case 18-14384-amc Doc 43 Filed 07/09/18 Entered 07/09/18 10:36:26 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 13

BACKGROUND

- 2. On June 29, 2018 (the "Filing Date"), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for reorganization pursuant to chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, as amended (the "Bankruptcy Code").
- 3. Since the Filing Date, the Debtor has remained in possession of its assets and continued management of its business as a debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 4. An official committee of unsecured creditors (the "Committee") has not been appointed as of the filing of the Motion.
- 5. Great Atlantic Graphics, Inc. is a full service Graphic communications company offering design, prepress, offset printing, digital printing, finishing, mailing, fulfillment, DAM and web solutions. Further, Great Atlantic Graphics, Inc. is a premier service provider for the pharmaceutical, manufacturing, healthcare, and educational industries.
- 6. Because of the sensitive nature of the Debtor's business, the Debtor marketed its assets, by word of mouth, to competitors in the industry and entertained discussions with two entities. After those discussions, the Debtor ultimately settled on the offer from ALCOM.
- 7. The Debtor secured an Asset Purchase Agreement Term Sheet (the "Term Sheet"), attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, for the sale of substantially all of the assets that are currently owned by the Debtor and used in its business, specifically including, without limitation, the Debtor's goodwill, various intangibles, inventory, furniture, fixtures, equipment, and all unbilled work in progress (the "Assets") to ALCOM or its assignee (the "Buyer") for \$500,000 (the "Purchase Price"). Specifically excluded from the proposed sale to ALCOM is the Debtor's cash and its accounts receivable (the "Excluded Assets"). The Debtor has also filed a motion for

Case 18-14384-amc Doc 43 Filed 07/09/18 Entered 07/09/18 10:36:26 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 13

authority to obtain post-petition, secured financing from ALCOM in the form of a term loan with a maximum draw of \$275,000 (the "DIP Loan"). Should the Buyer succeed at the auction of the Assets, the Purchase Price shall be offset by the amount due on account of the DIP Loan. The Debtor is retaining any cash and all accounts receivable. Cash, Net sale proceeds and Accounts will be used to fund a liquidating plan and are sufficient to satisfy secured creditors and a portion of the priority unsecured creditors.

- 8. The Term Sheet contemplates the sale of the Assets to the Buyer for the Purchase Price less amounts due under the DIP Loan. The Buyer is unrelated to the Debtor or any of the Debtor's affiliates, officers, or agents. The Buyer is also negotiating the purchase of non-debtor assets in connection with the purchase of the Assets. This transaction also includes an employment agreement for the principal of the Debtor with ALCOM post-closing on the global sale of the Assets and the non-debtor assets.
- 9. The Debtor intends to negotiate and finalize an asset purchase agreement prior to the Sale Hearing requested herein and submit the same for approval.
- 10. The Debtor proposes a distribution of the sale proceeds to satisfy secured creditors with liens on the assets to be transferred and priority unsecured claims with the remaining proceeds made available for unsecured creditors.
- 11. The Debtor avers that, with the sale of the Assets, as set forth in the Term Sheet, the contemplated sale provides the best-case scenario for a reasonable payment to all creditors.
- 12. Currently, the Debtor requires the DIP Loan in order to continue work in progress, pay employees, and meet current obligations. Given the nature of the Debtor's business, customers may be reluctant to place new orders as a result of the bankruptcy which the Debtor avers, coupled with cash constraints, warrants expedited consideration of the Motion.

BIDDING PROCEDURES

- 13. The Assets are subject to higher and better offers.
- 14. Any party, wishing to submit a higher or better offer on the Assets, may do so at the time of the hearing on the Sale Motion. If competing bidders attend the Sale Hearing, an Auction will be held under the auspices of the Bankruptcy Court at that time.
- 15. As of the date of the filing of this Motion, the Debtor is unaware of other potential bidders for the Assets, and, therefore, will entertain all bids.

THE BASIS FOR RELIEF AND THE REASONS THEREFOR

- 16. By this Motion, the Debtor seeks the entry of two (2) orders by the Court:
 - a) an order scheduling a hearing on the Sale Motion and an Auction for the Assets on the same date, at the same time as the hearing on the Sale Motion (the "Scheduling Order"); and
 - b) an order to be entered at the Sale Hearing pursuant to section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code approving the sale of the Property free and clear of liens, claims, encumbrances and interests (the "Sale Approval Order").
- 17. Each of these orders and the reasons and authority for the entry thereof are discussed in detail in the Motion.

THE SALE APPROVAL ORDER

18. The Debtor seeks the entry of an order pursuant to section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code, approving any Asset Purchase Agreement and the sale of the Assets in all respects, free and clear of any and all liens, claims, encumbrances and interests in or on the Assets. The Sale Approval Order reflect the terms of the current Term Sheet but will ultimately reflect the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement contemplated by the Term Sheet.

- 19. Pursuant to section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code, the property to be sold to the Buyer under the Term Sheet (the "Sale"), are assets of the Debtor's bankruptcy estate.
- 20. In accordance with sections 363, 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code, debtors-in-possession are authorized to sell property of the estate and maximize recoveries for their creditors.
- 21. Section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes a debtor or a trustee to sell its assets outside of the ordinary course of business. See 11 U.S.C. § 363(b)(1).
 - 22. Section 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in pertinent part, as follows:
 - (f) [t]he trustee may sell property under subsection (b) or (c) of this section free and clear of any interest in such property of an entity other than the estate, only if
 - (1) applicable non-bankruptcy law permits sale of such property free and clear of such interest:
 - (2) such entity consents;
 - (3) such interest is a lien and the price at which such property is to be sold is greater than the aggregate value of all liens on such property;
 - (4) such interest is in bona fide dispute; or
 - (5) such entity could be compelled, in a legal or equitable proceeding, to accept a money satisfaction of such interest.

11 U.S.C. § 363(f).

23. Generally, a debtor must show that each of the following elements have been met before a section 363(b) sale may be approved: (i) that a sound business reason exists for the proposed transaction; (ii) that the sale has been proposed in good faith; (iii) that the sale price is fair and reasonable; and (iv) that accurate and reasonable notice has been provided of the transaction. See In re WDH Howell, LLC, 298 B.R. 527, 534 (D. N.J. 2003); In re Stroud Ford, Inc., 163 B.R. 730 (Bankr. M.D. Pa. 1993).

Case 18-14384-amc Doc 43 Filed 07/09/18 Entered 07/09/18 10:36:26 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 13

- Although section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code does not specify a standard for determining when it is appropriate for a court to authorize the use, sale or lease of property of the estate, bankruptcy courts routinely authorize sales of a debtor's assets if such sale is based upon the sound business judgment of the debtor. In re Dura Automotive, 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 2764 at *258, (citing Myers v. Martin (In re Martin), 91 F.3d 389, 395 (3d Cir. 1996)); Comm. of Equity Sec. Holders v. Lionel Corp. (In re Lionel Corp.), 722 F.2d 1063, 1070 (2d Cir. 1983); In re Abbotts Dairies of Penn., Inc., 788 F.2d 143 (3d Cir. 1986) (implicitly adopting the "sound business judgment" test of Lionel Corp. and requiring good faith); In re Del. And Hudson Ry. Co., 124 B.R. 169 (D. Del. 1991) (concluding that the Third Circuit adopted the "sound business judgment" test in the Abbotts Dairies decision); In re Montgomery Ward Holding Corp., 242 B.R. 147, 153 (D. Del. 1991) (same).
- 25. Courts have made it clear that a debtor's showing of a sound business justification need not be exhaustive, but rather a debtor or trustee is "simply required to justify the proposed disposition with sound business reasons." <u>In re Baldwin-United Com.</u>, 43 B.R. 888, 906 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1984).
- 26. Whether or not there are sufficient business reasons to justify a sale depends upon the facts and circumstances of each case. In re Lionel Com., 722 F.2d 1063, 1071 (2d Cir. 1983).
- 27. In the circumstances of valid business justifications, applicable principles of law attach to a debtor's decision a strong presumption "that in making a business decision[,] the directors of a corporation acted on an informed basis, in good faith and in the honest belief that the action taken was in the best interests of the company." Official Comm. of Subordinated Bondholders v. Integrated Res., Inc. (In re Integrated Res., Inc.), 147 B.R. 650, 656 (S.D.N.Y.)

Case 18-14384-amc Doc 43 Filed 07/09/18 Entered 07/09/18 10:36:26 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 13

1990) (holding that the Delaware business judgment rule has "vitality by analogy" in chapter 11), (quotations omitted).

- 28. Therefore, the Debtor submits that the decision to sell the Assets is based upon its sound business judgment and should be approved. The Debtor worked diligently to explore alternatives to the proposed sale. However, the current economic climate resulted in the Debtor's determination that the sale of the Assets is a necessary step towards a successful and meaningful distribution to the Debtor's creditors. The Debtor thus believes that the sale of the Assets will provide the best result for its estate and creditors.
- 29. Once a court is satisfied that there is a sound business justification for the proposed sale, the court should then determine whether (i) the debtor in possession has provided the interested parties with adequate and reasonable notice, (ii) the sale price is fair and reasonable, and (iii) the purchaser is proceeding in good faith. <u>In re Del. and Hudson Ry. Co.</u>, 124 B.R. at 166; accord <u>In re Decora Indus.</u>, Case No. 00-4459, 2002 WL 32332749, at *3 (Bankr. D. Del. May 20, 2002.).
- 30. "The requirement that a purchaser act in good faith . . . speaks to the integrity of his conduct in the course of the sale proceedings." <u>In Re Abbotts Dairies of Pennsylvania, Inc.</u>, 788 F.2d 142, 147 (3d Cir. 1986). "Typically, the misconduct that would destroy s purchaser's good faith status at a judicial sale involves fraud, collusion between the purchaser and other bidders or the trustee, or an attempt to take grossly unfair advantage of other bidders." Id.
- 31. Here, the Term Sheet was negotiated at arm's length after a word of mouth marketing process conducted by the Debtor over the past several months.
 - 32. The Buyer, is not controlled by, or acting on behalf of any insider of the Debtor.

Case 18-14384-amc Doc 43 Filed 07/09/18 Entered 07/09/18 10:36:26 Desc Main Document Page 8 of 13

- 33. The Buyer is offering Frederick Duffy, the Debtor's principal, an employment agreement with annual compensation as outlined in the Term Sheet. The Debtor avers that this sum is below market and not a means of shifting sale proceeds to the Principal.
- 34. Moreover, the proposed Term Sheet (and eventual Asset Purchase Agreement) is to be submitted subject to the receipt of higher and better offers. Thus, the Debtor submits that the proposed sale to Buyer constitutes a sale in good faith and for fair value within meaning of Section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code and, specifically, should be afforded the benefits and protections provided by section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code in connection with the sale of the Assets. See id.
- 35. Section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code protects the sale of a debtor's property to a good faith purchaser. Section 363(m) provides,

The reversal or modification on appeal of an authorization under subsection (b) or (c) of this section of a sale or lease of property does not affect the validity of a sale or lease under such authorization to an entity that purchased or leased such property in good faith, whether or not such entity knew of the pendency of the appeal, unless such authorization and such sale or lease were stayed pending appeal.

11 U.S.C. §363(m).

36. Section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code thus protects the good faith purchaser of assets sold pursuant to section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code from the risk that it will lose its interest in the purchased assets if the order allowing the sale is reversed on appeal. By its terms, section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code applies to sales of interests in tangible assets. Additionally, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (the "Third Circuit") has indicated that section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code also protects the assignee of a debtor's interest in executory contracts under Bankruptcy Code 365. See Krebs Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc. v. Valley Motors, Inc., 141 F.3d 490, 497-98 (3d Cir. 1998). In Krebs, the Court considered "whether assignments of

Case 18-14384-amc Doc 43 Filed 07/09/18 Entered 07/09/18 10:36:26 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 13

[certain automobile dealership] franchises under section 365 are also sales of estate property subject to section 363(m)." Id. at 497. Despite the absence of an explicit reference to assignments of executory contracts under section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Court in Krebs concluded that section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code protected an assignment of a debtor's interest in certain automobile franchise agreements pursuant to an auction sale. Like the franchise agreements protected in Krebs, the Debtor respectfully submits that section 363(m) applies to protect the Buyer (or other successful bidder) with respect to the Assets and any ancillary assignments thereof.

37. Although the Bankruptcy Code does not define "good faith," the Third Circuit has noted that the phrase "encompasses one who purchases in 'good faith' and 'for value.'" In re Abbotts Dairies of Pa., Inc., 788 F.2d 143, 147 (3d Cir. 1986). Further, the Third Circuit has recognized that the type of misconduct that would destroy a purchaser's good faith status involves 'fraud, collusion between the purchaser and other bidders or the trustee, or an attempt to take grossly unfair advantage of other bidders.' Id. (remanding case involving insider transaction back to the bankruptcy court for further consideration of good faith where there was evidence that the sale had been orchestrated between insiders and some of the sale conditions were not disclosed to the debtor's creditors) (quoting In re Rock Indus. Machine Corp., 572 F.2d 1195, 1198 (7th Cir. 1978)). Due to the absence of a bright-line test for good faith, the determination is based on the facts of each case, concentrating on the "integrity of [an actor's] conduct during the sale proceedings." See, e.g., In re Stroud Ford, Inc., 163 B.R. 730, 732-33 (Bankr. M.D. Pa. 1993); In re Pisces Leasing Corp., 66 B.R. 671, 673 (E.D.N.Y. 1986) (quoting In re Rock Indus. Machine Corp., 572 F.2d at 1198).

- 38. As will be further demonstrated at the Sale Hearing, the sale of the Assets was proposed in good faith as a result of arms'-length negotiations between the Debtor and the Buyer. In addition, the sale of the Assets to the Buyer is subject to higher or better offers pursuant an auction process designed not only to solicit potential competing bidders, but to ensure that no party is able to exert undue influence over the process. Under such circumstances, the Debtor submits that the Buyer (or successful bidder, if not the Buyer) should be afforded the protections that section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code provides to a good faith purchaser.
- 39. The Debtor believes that the prompt sale of the Assets, as proposed, is in the best interests of the creditors and the estate. The Debtor believes that the Purchase Price is fair and reasonable under the circumstances.
- 40. In the interest of attracting the best offers, the Sale of the Assets should be free and clear of any and all liens, claims, and encumbrances in accordance with section 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code, with holders of any such liens, claims and encumbrances being paid from the proceeds of the Sale of the Assets and/or being given replacement liens, claims, and encumbrances attaching to the proceeds of the Sale of the Assets.
- 41. Pursuant to section 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code, a debtor in possession may sell property of the estate "free and clear of any interest in such property of an entity other than the estate" if any one of the following conditions is satisfied:
 - (a) applicable nonbankruptcy law permits sale of such property free and clear of such interest;
 - (b) such entity consents;
 - such interest is a lien and the price at which such property is to be sold is greater than the aggregate value of all liens on such property;
 - (d) such interest is in bona fide dispute; or

Case 18-14384-amc Doc 43 Filed 07/09/18 Entered 07/09/18 10:36:26 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 13

(e) such entity could be compelled, in a legal or equitable proceeding, to accept a money satisfaction of such interest.

11 U.S.C. §363(f).

The Debtor requests that the Court authorize the Sale of the Assets free and clear 42. of all liens, claims, encumbrances, and other interests (collectively, the "Liens and Claims"). The Sale of the Assets pursuant the auction process will satisfy section 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code because any entities holding liens and claims will have received notice of this Motion and the Sale Notice. All parties in interest will be given sufficient opportunity to object to the relief requested herein and any such entity that does not object to the Sale of the Assets should be deemed to have consented. See Futuresource LLC v. Reuters Ltd., 312 F.3d 281, 285-86 (7th Cir. 2002) ("It is true that the Bankruptcy Code limits the conditions under which an interest can be extinguished by a bankruptcy sale, but one of those conditions is the consent of the interest holder, and lack of objection (provided of course there is notice) counts as consent. It could not be otherwise; transaction costs would be prohibitive if everyone who might have an interest in the bankrupt's assets had to execute a formal consent before they could be sold.") (internal citations omitted): Hargrave v. Twp. of Pemberton (In re Tabone, Inc., 175 B.r. 855, 858 (Bankr. D.N.J. 1994) (failure to object to sale free and clear of liens, claims and encumbrances satisfies section 363(f)(2)). As such, to the extent that no party holding a lien or claim objects to the relief requested in the Sale Order, the Sale of the Assets free and clear of all liens and claims satisfies section 363(f)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code. To the extent a party holding a lien or claim objects to the relief requested in the Sale Order, the Sale of the Assets free and clear of such liens and claims satisfies one or more of sections 363(f)(1) or (3)-(5), as applicable.

43. Accordingly, the Debtor requests that the Assets be transferred to the Buyer (or other successful bidder, if not the Buyer) free and clear of all liens, claims, encumbrances, and interests with the same to attach to the net sale proceeds of the Assets.

THE SALE COMPLIES WITH FEDERAL RULE OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 6004(f)(1).

- 45. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 6004(f)(1), sales of property outside the ordinary course of business may be by private sale or by public auction.
- 46. The Debtor believes a sale of the Assets pursuant to a public auction will maximize the sale proceeds received by the estate, which is the paramount goal in any proposed sale of property of the estate. In re Dura Automotive Sys., Inc., Case No. 06-11202(KJC), 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 2764, *253 (Bankr. D. Del. Aug. 15, 2007) ("The paramount goal in any proposed sale of property of the estate is to maximize the proceeds received by the estate.").

APPROVAL OF THE TERM SHEET IS WARRANTED

- 47. The applicable principle of law with respect to the approval of asset sales in bankruptcy is stated in the case of <u>In re Lionel Corp.</u>, 722 F.2d 1063 (2d Cir. 1983). The Court in <u>Lionel</u> held that approval of a sale is appropriate if the court finds the transaction represents a reasonable business judgment on the part of the debtor. <u>See also, Stephens Industries v. McClung</u>, 789 F.2d 3863 (6th Cir. 1986); <u>In re Coastal Industries</u>. <u>Inc.</u>, 63 B.R. 361 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1986), <u>In re Baldwin United Corp.</u>, 43 B.R. 888 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1984).
- 48. In light of the foregoing, the Debtor has determined that the Sale to Buyer on the terms and conditions set forth in the Term Sheet (and eventual Asset Purchase Agreement) are appropriate and in the best interest of its estate and all parties in interest.
- 49. The Sale will enable the Debtor to provide a distribution to secured and unsecured creditors that likely would not be available absent the Sale.

Case 18-14384-amc Doc 43 Filed 07/09/18 Entered 07/09/18 10:36:26 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 13

WAIVER UNDER RULE 6004(h)

50. The Debtor has set forth herein and will demonstrate at the hearing on the Motion, the potential harm of any delay.

51. The Debtor requests a waiver of the stay as provided under Rule 6004(b) to allow for a closing within the fourteen (14) day period referenced in Rule 6004(h).

WHEREFORE, the Debtor respectfully request that this Court (i) enter an Order scheduling the Sale Hearing on the same date and at the same time as a potential Auction, (ii) enter an Order approving the sale of the Assets free and clear of liens, claims, encumbrances and interest, and (iii) grant such other and further relief as this Court deems just.

CIARDI CIARDI & ASTIN

Dated:

Albert A. Ciardi, III, Esquire Jennifer C. McEntee, Esquire One Commerce Square 2005 Market Street, Suite 3500 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Proposed Attorneys for the Debtor and Debtor-In-Possession